

**Responses to Maintenance Services RFP Questions
Received Prior to Close of Business April 13, 2017**

<u>No.</u>	<u>Questions</u>
32	<p>Notwithstanding the contents of Article 16.3, please confirm that the Owner shall be considered the generator of any Hazardous Materials that were not introduced to the job site by the Contractor and the Owner shall sign any manifests required by federal, state or local law stating the same.</p> <p>Generators can include multiple entities. Article 16.3 relieves the Contractor of any responsibilities for hazardous materials not generated by the Contractor.</p>
33	<p>5.0 Proposal and Format Contents states a 12 point font limit with double spacing. Would you allow table, charts, and graphics to be single-spaced with a smaller font?</p> <p>Yes</p>
34	<p>Do the requirements in 5.1, 5.3, Evidence of Insurance, and Evidence of Bonding count in the 25 page limit?</p> <p>No</p>
35	<p>As it's not typical to have all questions required to be submitted the day after the Pre-Bid meeting of an RFP, is there the possibility for a similar two week extension of the deadline for questions?</p> <p>A third question phase will be added that will close April 26, 2017 with answers placed on the website by May 3, 2017. The second phase will still close April 13.</p>
36	<p>Are the interview schedules flexible for personnel on vacation?</p> <p>The new tentative interview date is May 31, 2017. If this date poses a problem for any prime, please provide an available date during that or the following week Tuesday to Thursday is preferable. It is desired to hold all interviews on the same date. We will attempt to schedule a date convenient to the Proposers and reviewers, but may be unable to do so.</p>
37	<p>How many derailments have occurred in the trench section since April 2002?</p> <p>Only one.</p>
38	<p>Can we request field trip down into trench for pump station plus emergency stairs review?</p> <p>The emergency ladder was viewed from above and discussed at Compton Blvd. on the site tour of April 12, 2017. If any proposer would like to arrange a tour within the pump station or at a ladder location, please contact Manny Hernandez at (562)247-7073 to arrange a specific date and time.</p>

**Responses to Maintenance Services RFP Questions
Received Prior to Close of Business April 13, 2017**

<u>No.</u>	<u>Questions</u>
39	<p>When the Alameda Corridor was initially designed, there was discussion to eventually electrify the Corridor. What plans are there now to electrify the Corridor?</p> <p>The Corridor was designed with sufficient vertical clearance at most bridges to accommodate catenary clearance, if electrification were to take place. There is no current specific proposal to electrify freight service in the Corridor or LA Basin.</p>
40	<p>Some answers to questions indicate answers to be provided in next round. If these answers require follow-up, there will be no chance. Please allow a follow-up question round.</p> <p>See answer to Question #35.</p>
41	<p>Will the questions be incorporated as addendum?</p> <p>The answers to questions are in general clarification to the RFP or responses to requests for additional information. To the extent an answer changes a requirement or milestone in the RFP, an addendum will be issued. An addendum will be forthcoming involving date changes, the additional question phase, and certain other changes as a result of the questions submitted.</p>
42	<p>How many trains do the railroads anticipate in the future?</p> <p>There are 35 daily trains through the trench and many more to the south where trains are assembled and disassembled. The railroads and ports anticipate at least doubling of trains over the next 20 years.</p>
43	<p>In reviewing the Cost Proposal Forms, there doesn't appear to be a line item or a clear place to include indirect items such as contractors mobilization and site establishment costs, staff relocation, insurance premium costs, and other standard upfront contractor expenses. Where should these costs be listed and how will contract be reimbursed for such items?</p> <p>Start-up costs are not required in the pricing, and will be negotiated with the recommended Contractor. Insurance premium costs should be included in overhead in the fully burdened rate.</p>

**Responses to Maintenance Services RFP Questions
Received Prior to Close of Business April 13, 2017**

<u>No.</u>	<u>Questions</u>
<p align="center">7 Revised</p>	<p>We would like to request a minimum 2 week extension of the proposal due date to allow sufficient time for preparation of proposals. A short period between the Pre-Proposal Meeting and the due date supports an unfair advantage for the incumbent contractor.</p> <p>Original answer: An extension can be provided and will be discussed at the pre-proposal meeting.</p> <p>Revised answer: Addendum #1 will extend the due date by two weeks from May 3, 2017 to May 17, 2017</p>
<p align="center">16 Revised</p>	<p>This contract requires compliance with the Prevailing Wage Requirements. A review of the current contract labor rates indicates that there are possibly classifications that are not listed on the referenced DIR website. We are aware of a Railroad Trackwork Laborer agreement. Is this contract subject to this agreement? Please provide indicated available documentation referenced in Section 3.1.1 of the RFP.</p> <p>Original answer: Answer will be provided on or before next round of responses due April 19, 2017.</p> <p>Revised answer: The positions listed in the Cost Proposal Forms are generic descriptions, and are not necessarily all inclusive or direct matches to DIR labor classifications for the type of work to be performed. It is the Contractor's responsibility to determine the nature and size of its labor force and to build a fully burdened rate on the direct hourly rates paid to its workforce. Those direct hourly rates must be equal to or higher than DIR prevailing wage minimums where applicable. It is the Proposer's responsibility to evaluate appropriate prevailing wages that may apply to its workforce from the information provided by DIR.</p> <p>As regards any existing labor agreements for which the Proposer may or may not be a party to, there is no specific ACTA contract requirement that labor must be furnished via such agreements. That is a Proposer decision.</p> <p>The documentation referenced in Section 3.1.1 will be available for viewing upon request at ACTA's Offices beginning Tuesday April 25, 2017, but it will be the same master listing of labor classifications that can readily be found on the DIR's website.</p>

**Responses to Maintenance Services RFP Questions
Received Prior to Close of Business April 13, 2017**

<u>No.</u>	<u>Questions</u>
<p>18 Revised</p>	<p>Section 3.8 of the RFP states, “The following additional information shall be made available as necessary to the selected contractor:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Memorandums of Understanding between municipalities and ACTA – Agreements with utility owners – Railroad agreements – As-built drawings of ACTA facilities – Complete track and signal design drawings – ACTA crisis communications plan – Other relevant information” – Please make these documents available prior to bid. <p>Original answer: Will be discussed at pre-proposal meeting.</p> <p>Revised answer: As discussed at pre-proposal meeting, these documents are thousands of pages in volume. They were going to be made available during the course of the performance of the services if information contained therein was necessary to accomplish any services. They have not been deemed relevant for preparing the proposal. However, if there is specific information that any Proposer requires, please provide a request. Arrangements can also be made for a general viewing of them at the ACTA offices, if requested.</p>
<p>19 Revised</p>	<p>Article 1 of the Draft Maintenance agreement indicates “Fully Burdened Labor Rate”... For the first Contract Year, such rates and classifications will be those contained in the Amended Approved Maintenance Plan effective April 15, 2017. Please provide the “Amended Approved Maintenance Plan effective April 15, 2017.”</p> <p>Original answer: April 15, 2017 will be replaced with “on or about August 1, 2017”. The Amended Approved Maintenance Plan will be negotiated with the selected Contractor.</p> <p>Revised answer: Addendum #1 will revise April 15, 2017 to “on or about September 1, 2017”. The Amended Approved Maintenance Plan will be negotiated with the selected Contractor.</p>