
Environmental Site Assessment & Restoration Services

Request for Proposals dated April 28, 2017

Addendum No. 2

Issued May 17, 2017

I. Exhibit B, Page B-1

Delete the original RFP SELECTION EVALUATION FORM and substitute the attached corrected form. See response to question No. 30.

II. Section 3.3-6, Page 11

Delete first sentence and substitute:

“Provide pricing and cost information for the contract including proposed markup for subcontractors and suppliers.”

Environmental Site Assessment & Restoration Services RFP – Addendum No. 2

RFP SELECTION EVALUATION FORM

(revised May 17, 2017)

PROJECT: Environmental Site Assessment and Restoration Services

SCORING GUIDELINES:

Rater's Score: (Range 0-5) - 0=not included/non responsive; 1= Serious Deficiencies; 2=Marginal Abilities; 3=Adequate, 4=Well Qualified; 5=Exceptionally Qualified.

Weighted Score= Rater's Score multiplied by (x) Weighing Factor. Totals should be calculated for each criterion.

Total score = Sum of all weighted scores.

| Firm Name | Evaluated by | Date |
|-----------|--------------|------|
| | | |

| CRITERIA TO BE RATED | DESCRIPTION | RATER'S SCORE | WEIGHING FACTOR | WEIGHTED SCORE |
|--|--|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| A. Firm Qualifications, Experience and References | How long has the company been in business? Has the company done similar work? Level of expertise in subject matters and railroad property areas? | | 3 | |
| B. Project Organization, Personnel and Staffing | Qualification and experience of proposed personnel for requested services? On-site availability of team and project manager? Locally based firm or team? Railroad property experience? | | 5 | |
| C. Technical Approach and Site Characterization Plan | Understanding and approach to the scope of work, with respect to setting, facilities, technical issues and regulatory requirements? Quality of sample site characterization plan? | | 4 | |
| D. Project Management | Procedures for undertaking and completing tasks? Procedures and protocols for schedule control & budget controls? QA/QC of data generated, deliverables, reports, etc.? | | 3 | |
| E. Rates, Fees and Budget Control | Competitive rates and fees proposed? Are proposed budget management, fees and staff hours proposed and clearly defined? | | 2 | |
| F. Clarity and Comprehensiveness of the Proposal | Is the proposal clear, comprehensive, and understandable? Does the proposal meet all of the RFP requirements? | | 3 | |
| | Maximum points possible=100 | | A+B+C+D+E+F=20 | Total Points= |